



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

| EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any) | Authors' response to editor's comments |
|--|---|
| 1. The methodology used in the study is questionable. The "casual" sample selection does not fit into the right group of sampling methods. | 1) We believe that this could be a random sample. In simple random sampling (SRS), each sample unit in the population has an equal chance of being included in the sample. Therefore, every possible sample also has an equal chance of being selected. |
| 2. Toxic chemicals need to be defined by using any standard criteria. | 2) We have notified in the revised version that the weakness of this manuscript lies in the fact that we were not able to perform biological analyses to identify toxic chemical agents in cosmetic products. This case may indeed be addressed in our next manuscript where we will carry out biochemical analysis of cosmetic products to look for chemical agents that may be harmful to the health of the users |