

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International STD Research &amp; Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_I-SRR_85309</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The role of gender and religion as determinants of condom use among university students; a quantitative survey</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Manuscript</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journali-srr.com/index.php/I-SRR/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer’s comment</b>	<b>Author’s comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	In the Conclusion/Recommendation section, the author states:  “Government and relevant stakeholders reevaluate policies sexual and reproductive health education, and implement measures which seek to discourage sexual activities among the youth so as to serve as a barrier to prevent the transmission of STDs and sexually transmitted diseases which are at a peak currently” This sentence is too long and needs to be re-worded and made clear. Are you saying that gov SHOULD reevaluate policies?	This statement has been reworded to read “Government and relevant stakeholders should re-evaluate policies on sexual and reproductive health education. They must also implement measures to which seek to encourage condom use among the youth since it serves as a barrier to both STDs and Unwanted pregnancies.
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Overall a good, strong paper. Current studies on condom use are very limited, so this is a much needed update of the topic, especially after many years of condom promotion for HIV and SRH.	Very well noted and appreciated

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer’s comment</b>	<b>Author’s comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	