

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_87926
Title of the Manuscript:	Microbiological Evaluation of Milk Beverages Produced From African Breadfruit, Tigernut, Coconut and Date Fruit
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejns.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Congratulations to the authors described this current and interesting article. Veganism and a healthy lifestyle are no more passing trends, they are here to stay and will rule the health charts. Companies are studying the consumption patterns of people from different regions and are maximizing the sales of different beverages by offering different combinations of milk and energy drinks. The future of plant-based beverages is bright as local and international companies are catering to the demand of a larger consumer base. Furthermore, a growing interest to protect a human health's is expected to create a significant opportunity for plant-based beverages manufacturers. The purpose of the present study is correctly formulated in terms of scientific value and novelty! The Materials and Method section does describe the preparation and processing of raw materials; formulation of plant milk beverages the and type of microbial analysis. In Results section author/s explain well a received result A conclusion is presented correctly and accurately.	I agree with the reviewer's comments
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript