

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_87450
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors contributing to unwholesome Food Processing and Preservation among Food Handlers in Port Harcourt, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejnfs.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In the introduction section, too much general information was written, instead, information suitable for the purpose of the study should be included. Author should focus on the research subject. What is the present status, what is missing, which need will be met with this research study. The difference of the study should be focused on, which gap will be filled in literature or practice. The introduction should be rearranged accordingly.</p> <p>Materials and methods were well designed. Results were supported with Tables. Discussion was done properly comparisons were made with literature.</p> <p>Writing of the references in text and references section should be corrected according to the journal requirements. Generally, English of the manuscript should be checked again.</p>	<p>Corrected</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Done</p>
Optional/General comments	If the authors made necessary corrections according to the recommendations the manuscript can be published in the journal.	Okay

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Ethical Considerations</p> <p>Written ethical clearance was obtained from the University of Port Harcourt Research Ethics Committee (UNIPORT REC) with an approval number (UPH/CEREMAD/REC/MM68/022). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants after informing them of the purpose of the research.</p>	