

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_83889
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of the consumption of two street foods (garba with tuna and rice with eggplant sauce) on vital organs in the Wistar rat (Rattus norvegicus)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejnfs.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. In the paper, due to the citation of reference, many sentences lack subjects, such as the first line of part 2.4. It's suggested to modify it. 2. Why did 15 experimental rats be selected for this study? Why is the study time 15 days? Whether there are references support.	1. The nutritional evaluation of rats in vivo was carried out on 20 young rats (Rattus norvegicus) from the UFR Biosciences pet store of the Félix Houphouët-Boigny University (Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire) according to the method described by 2. the tests were done over 15 days because it has been proven by some authors that from the fifteenth day, the essential elements for growth in young people are available.
Minor REVISION comments	1. There are many improper use punctuation in the article. Please modify it after self-examination.	
Optional/General comments	1. What is innovation?	an innovation is a new thing this study is innovative because previously these aspects that we have treated has never been done. Also, innovative because it shows us the limits on the one hand and the insufficiencies on these dishes that we call "dishes of preference".

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) No	