

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_83558
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional Evaluation of Clarias gariepinus and Tilapia quineesis Fishes from River Doma in Nasarawa State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejnfs.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) FEEDBACK
Compulsory REVISION comments	I have carefully revised this paper. I think it could be published after minor revisions are made	
Minor REVISION comments	Methods: when was the study conducted? 2.1: did the authors follow any citable protocol for this procedure? 2.6 Which statistical software was used? 3. Results and discussion should be divided Tables: every table should have its abbreviations disclosed	1. The period the study was conducted is already stated. 2. We followed citable protocol 3. MS Excel Spread Sheet 4. I don't think it is necessary here that Results and Discussion should be divided because discussion is already sectionalized. 5. Abbreviations for every Table have been disclosed.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	