

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_79869
Title of the Manuscript:	Formulation of Adequate Complementary Food for Children 0–24 months Using Local Staple Foods
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejnfs.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Remove manually reference system.2. Remove redundancy3. Some of including in abstract have not include in the document(Either remove in the abstract or add in the document)4. In the title indicate the research place. Because local production or available food group is different one location to another location.5. See full document and showed in the track change6. Some of the sentence need of citation or where to get that idea7. Each process supported by photograph and attach with supplementary or appendix is good (If possible)	Corrections effected and highlighted in yellow in the manuscript
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Sapling grammar problem2. Table citation3. See all track change on the main document	
Optional/General comments	In general, this work is good and the effort of the authors is not easy and I appreciate them. specially title part considering child before 6 month for complementary feeding has dilemma since complementary feeding leads gastroenteritis which is leading cause of morbidity and mortality in the first year of life specially before 6 month.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	