

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_76560
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Psidium guajava L. leaf powder and Aloe vera L. gel on shelf life of Citrus sinensis L. fruits
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Material and methods: The sentence "The guava leaf powder was mixed with 250 mL of sterile distilled water and coated (treated) with 10 orange samples while 10 oranges were coated with A. vera gel and 10 oranges were used for control and arranged in fruit rack and stored at normal temperature." is crucial for the outline of the experimental plan. Rewrite with major clarity. It is preferable to add the equations with the appropriate MS Word tool. Results: The tables are not easy to read. In Table 1, there are 4 columns but only 3 have captions. In addition, tables must be formatted properly. In tables 2 to 7, one column for each day must be used. Table 3 is repeated. Discussion: Abiotic factors cannot "colonise". <b>COMMENTS: In general the work is well done. However, the attention paid to the writing of the manuscript and to the presentation of the results is not enough.</b>	The suggested portions of the Materials and methods have been reviewed accordingly. The Tables have been adjusted and streamlined as well. The Discussion has also been edited, " <b>colonise</b> " has been changed to " <b>attack</b> "
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	Introduction could be enhanced in order to set the context for the study.	This has been done
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Abstract: replace "Eatable coverings" with "Edible coating".	Corrected

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	