

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_84356
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Asparagus africanus on Glucose Level and Antioxidant Enzymes Activities in Liver of Streptozotocin-induced diabetic Wistar rats: Antidiabetic study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Check the manuscript for some corrections given in redIn the first paragraph of the introduction section, the sentence highlighted in red is a repetition of the first sentence and should be removedAluminium levels are associated with blood glucose levels and risk of diabetes mellitus. The use of aluminium cages in housing the rats used in this study is not appropriate. Plastic cages would be better.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">I did not see the corrections in the introduction section highlight in red in the reviewed manuscript sent to me. However, I have corrected the sentence in the first paragraph and highlighted in yellow.Thank you for your suggestion/comment.
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">The age range of the rats should be specified in the methodsThe number of male and female rats respectively, should be written in the methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none">We have mentioned the age of the rats in the method and highlighted in yellow.The number of male/female rats was also stated in the method and highlighted in yellow.
Optional/General comments	This is a good research work	Thank you for your comment.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	