

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_88282
Title of the Manuscript:	RECENT DEVELOPMENT OF BIOMASS AND PLASTIC CO-PYROLYSIS FOR SYNGAS PRODUCTION
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcsij.com/index.php/CSIJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- A unified mechanism must be adopted for the method of writing references according to the instructions of the researcher for the journal...- Some references are written in an abbreviated way and some are not in an abbreviated form... The method must be standardized according to the journal system- The references are too many, and it is suggested to reduce the number by deleting the old references.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Thank you for pointing this out. The journal's author guideline has been followed accordingly. Kindly point us to specific sections that may inadvertently be in error.- This has been resolved and highlighted yellow in the manuscript.- The journal recommends list of references between 50-150. Two old references have been deleted and six new references have been added which falls within the range as recommended by the journal. Also, five old references have been replaced with current ones and highlighted yellow as well. There are now 115 references: 2011 below (15), 2012-2017 (52), and 2018-2022 (48)
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- No