

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_86738
Title of the Manuscript:	Phenolic compounds of Rumex L: aerial part fractions and essential oil results of in vitro screening for antibacterial and antifungal activity
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcsij.com/index.php/CSIJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Both abstract and conclusion are same, So write abstract in effective form and also add aim of the present study 2. In first paragraph of introduction, add 2 to 3 more references and also add objective of present study 3. write Extraction of both the plant together and both extraction and methods write separately 4. If the compounds mentioned in manuscript are identified first time, then give spectral data of all compounds 5. Add some images of experimental results of antibacterial and antifungal activity of the plants	Noted and revised Correction amended Done
Minor REVISION comments	1. Author add very old references even of after 2000, So add some latest references 2. Write "in-vitro" in italic at all places in the manuscript 3. Give numbering to all headings and to subheadings 4. Make structure of all compounds in standard size with good finishing in chemdraw software 5. How is it possible to write antibacterial activity active against fungi also, check it	Correction made Done
Optional/General comments	1. Check spacing and correct spelling mistakes 2. write antibacterial and antifungal activity as "antimicrobial activity"	Done

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	