



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_56484
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibacterial, phytochemical and preliminary toxicity profile studies of n-hexane chloroform, ethyl acetate, and methanol extracts of Andira inermis Stem bark (Leguminosea)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Please improve the quality of English and grammar of the whole manuscript. Please provide voucher specimen number. Please describe about storage condition of keeping sample. After rotavapor concentration where you kept the samples. Did you checked the moisture contents of your primary samples or did you freeze dried your samples. Prior to experiment what was your storage conditions. Phytochemical analysis is quantitative or qualitative? Have you taken ethical permission for your toxicological studies. Please provide the details. What protocol you used for the study. How do you calculated the sample size for your study. Please provide the references. What protocol or guidelines you used for euthanize your animals. What chemical you used for euthanasia.	The manuscript has been modified
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	