

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_88915
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of FYM and Zinc Nutrition on Growth and Productivity of Pea (Pisum sativum L.) in Kashmir Conditions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>After reviewing the manuscript it is suggested major revision before processing as illustrated:</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The Material &amp; Methods portion should be revised with treatment details and plant nutrition like fertilizer used, mode of application of FYM and Zn with proper dose should be mentioned and also some mention of meteorological parameters during the experimental period should be there.</li><li>2. The experiment was conducted during consecutive two years, but in Tabular representation there is no clarity whether mean value or value after pooled analysis presented. Please clarify.</li><li>3. In Result and Discussion portion statistical inference is not being reflected properly. The mistakes should be rectified. Some has been marked as red. Revise the whole portion accordingly.</li><li>4. The data presented and CD, SEm+ _ should be rechecked properly.</li><li>5. The Reference portion also need revision as some reference found to be missing and uniform pattern as per format of the Journal, need to be followed.</li><li>6. There should be proper numbering in the manuscript, future scope, limitation, conflict of study etc. Should be reflected.</li></ol>	Needful done wherever applicable.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	