

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_88726
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different levels of beheaded heights and foliar spray of micronutrients on flowering and fruiting attributes of mango cv. Amrapali under high density planting
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article meets all the requirements of a scientific research. The abstract presents the complete research and the results of the research. The introduction is thorough. Presents well the problem and the positive results of foliar treatment in other crops. The purpose of the study is clear. Materials and methods acquainted in details with the experiment. Although the abstract indicates the years of study, this section should also be noted. As one of the studied factors is foliar treatment, I recommend the author/authors to indicate the rate of fertilization, in case mineral fertilizers were imported. Also, it is not clear which software product the statistical treatments are with (cited in № 18). The results are very well presented. The discussion synthesized the results well. However, the results presented in this way cannot be accepted as conclusions. There is a good basis for comparison with previous research.	Accepted the reviewer point and corrected the same in abstract. Doses of fertilization is given. The data was analysed by R software. A brief conclusion part is also included in separate headings.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The factors affected in the article (beheaded heights and foliar spray of micronutrients) are a topical issue. Scientists have conducted an in-depth study with a large number of traits. The data obtained are very good. The experiment must continue for several more years to obtain reliable results. These results may be the basis for more in-depth research.	Thank you sir for your valuable comments.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No