

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_88726
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different levels of beheaded heights and foliar spray of micronutrients on flowering and fruiting attributes of mango cv. Amrapali under high density planting
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The paper shall follow journal template2. The references of the manuscript shall be up to date (less than 5 years)3. Abstract:<ul style="list-style-type: none">• It shall follow IMRAD (introduction, methods, result, and discussion)• No need to put number in the abstract4. Introduction You should add more references in your introduction Explain your research objective clearly5. Explain more your materials and methods6. Please explain more your result and discussion, add more citations to support your argumentation.7. Please add conclusions	The paper has been designed as per the template as per your suggestion. We had tried to give recent references but very few work are regarding this research. I had added some references in introduction portion. Research objective has been improvised. Materials and methods have been elaborated as per advice. Results and discussion has been elaborated and more citation has been incorporated. A brief conclusion has been incorporated as a separate heading as per your suggestion.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	