

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_88578
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation of Guava Production in Himachal Pradesh by using Optimum Stratification
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The authors estimated Guava Production in Himachal Pradesh using Proportional and Neyman allocation. The reviewer's comments is listed as under <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The author used optimum stratification in title but proportional and Neyman allocation have been used in the paper. Is it right?</li><li>2. Secondly, in conclusion, there is only a single sentence about work done and remaining is citation. The citation should not be in conclusion, it may be placed under introduction. The conclusions should be elaborated.</li><li>3. In addition, outcome of the work done may be represented to help researchers and end-users.</li><li>4. Finally, the manuscript is well written but there is need to check grammatically mistakes throughout the manuscript.</li></ol>	Modified as suggestion  Grammatical mistakes are rectified
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Minor revision required	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The paper is well written	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	