

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_88529
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of processing methods on the nutritional composition of ripe pumpkin fruit
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments		I agree and corrections are made according to the reviewers' comments
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The study is interesting with many analyses which reflect the nutritional composition of ripe pumpkin fruit.</p> <p>However, I suggest that in addition to the bibliographic reference number, the name of the author and year be written, where appropriate ... for example to RESULTS and DISCUSSIONS,</p> <p>3.1.Moisture : The values analyzed are in the range given by 19] for pumpkin fruit, lower than the result of [8], higher than the observations of [20] and almost similar to the data of [21] for fruit.</p> <p>Should be completed as follows:</p> <p>The values analyzed are in the range given by Karanja JK, 2014 [19] for pumpkin fruit, lower than the result of Dhiman AK et al., 2017 [8], higher than the observations of Dhiman AK, et al., 2018a [20] and almost similar to the data of Dhiman AK, et al., 2020 [21] for fruit.</p>	Authors' surnames and the initials of their names are incorporated in the text and highlighted yellow.
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues in this manuscript