

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_88294
Title of the Manuscript:	Mapping of land use/land cover changes in the selected midland Paddy fields of Kollam District, Kerala, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper is well written, technically sound but the author(s) need include some empirical results in the abstract to substantiate the decrease in paddy field and the corresponding increase in other landuse(s).	I have included the suggestions made by reviewer. Thank you for the valuable comments.
Minor REVISION comments	The author(s) should effect the corrections highlighted in the major manuscript. A research work is normally written in past tense	All the corrections highlighted in the manuscript are clarified and changed into past tense.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript