

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_88174
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF TIME AND NUMBER OF PRUNING ON GROWTH, FLOWERING AND FRUITNG BEHAVIOUR OF PHALSA (Grewia asiatica L.) cv. LOCAL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article needs some corrections before it can be published. The suggestions are indicated in the attached document. There are some issues related to statistics which, if fixed, can be published without any problems. The work is interesting and with potential application for local agriculture. It presents results of practical interest, therefore, it deserves to be published after corrections.	As we have taken one plant for the single treatment and four repetition, we have selected the CRD factorial, and here in Anand agricultural university, Anand we all followed the same design for the horticultural crops, especially for fruit crops.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	It is necessary to say which statistical tests were used and which significance levels were adopted.	The design of analysis used was Completely Randomized Design with Factorial concept as described by Panse and Sukhatme (1967). The significance treatment differences was tested by 'F' test on the basis of null hypothesis. The appropriate standard error (S.Em±) was computed in each case. Coefficient of variance per cent was also worked out for all the characters.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No, there are no ethical issues in this manuscript.