

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_88104
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of Operating Model for the Design of Stirrer Arms of Slurries: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	-----	ok
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	MINOR CORRECTION NEEDED	Ok.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Introduction – In This Part No Need Of Formula, Etc (Avoid It) Review – ok Summary – ok Gap – Ok (But The Year Of Research Papaer Is More Gap, Try To Check Once Again Any Paper Is Available) Conclusion – ok English written – Should be improved based on the trends...try to update flow of english	Introduction – It is my considered opinion that the formula here is necessary to butress the theoretical/conceptual framework Gap – Gap relating to year of Research Paper has been reviewed. English written – flow of english has been updated.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) Good manuscript	Agreed.