

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_88104
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of Operating Model for the Design of Stirrer Arms of Slurries: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journals peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of **lack of Novelty**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewers comment	Authors comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The review article failed to capture a true picture of the three models as the author has stipulated.</p> <p>There is a need to elaborately review these models with good schematic diagrams and design features which will give the reading audience a clearer view of the different models.</p> <p>The author failed to outlined the different models examined in a logical comprehensible manner. Each of these models should have had a subsection dedicated to each with good schematic diagrams and performance indicators.</p>	<p>More light has been shed on the three models to facilitate better reader understanding.</p> <p>Design features of these models have been included to enhance better understanding by the reading audience.</p> <p>Design figures from original source have been included for better audience follow up. Also relevant details pertaining to the main thrust of the original work have been included. The performance indicators of each set of the original work have been based on comparative analysis, that is ranking in terms of effectiveness and efficiency. Hence the performance indicators/yardstick are quite visible on the work.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	