

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_87758
Title of the Manuscript:	An Analysis of Socio-personal profile of ATMA trainers in South Bihar
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Paper is descriptive in nature; sample size is should be more and there should be conclusive impact.</p> <p>It may modify as suggested and may be accepted for publication as short-communication/ research note but not a research paper.</p> <p>All table should be merged in a single table and write the implication of this finding to society.</p>	Comment accepted and considered
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Following are my observation:</p> <p>1. Paper is just descriptive and does not have any conclusive findings</p> <p>2. But, due to general importance, after major modifications, same can be published as short-communication/ research note etc</p>	Noted
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	