

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_86049
Title of the Manuscript:	THE USE OF WASTE MARBLE DUST AS PARTIAL REPLACEMENT FOR CEMENT IN CONCRETE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	(1) The data presented in Table 3 should be shown in an aggregate's gradation figure and compared with the standard values in the same Figure. (Fig 2 in this paper may help you: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13369-014-1019-5)	The particle size distribution curve has been added.
Minor REVISION comments	(2) In Abstract and subsection 2.2.1: the authors wrote "with a mix ratio of 1:2:4". The authors should explain to the readers the meaning of the proportion (i.e., cement: sand: coarse aggregate).	The explanation has been made in the revision.
Optional/General comments	(3) The data presented in Table 6 should be showed in three bar charts. One chart for each test (Compressive, Split-tensile and Flexural Strength Tests).	The bar charts have been added. Thank you for your comments.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	