

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_85423
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Calcium and Coating Materials on Quality and Shelf Life of Guava (Psidium Guajava L.) Cv. Allahabad Safeda Under Cold Storage Condition
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Rewrite abstract with few more results illustration.2. Summary/conclusion is the mandatory part of any research article therefore, I suggest to prepared concise conclusion and few lines about industrial application of current study3. 3.1.1 Physiological loss in weight (PLW) (%); better to find reported by Naik <i>et al.</i> (1997) some recent citations4. The references cited in the main text are old, try to link your results with most recent studies on the current study5. 3.1.2 Spoilage; Then after fast spoilage observed in all the treatments with advancement of storage regardless of the treatments. why spoilage was fast in those treatments with different coating materials this can acceptable for control for treatment with different coating materials spoilage level should be minimized justify with recent references	Abstract is change with grammatical mistake and added some numerical pints Add a conclusion as our suggestion This reference is changed and add Tsomu and Patel 2014). Change most off references Add a review
Minor REVISION comments	n/a	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript written well. However, some technical issues raised during review process. I suggest the manuscript could be accepted after minor revision and also suggest double check of typographical mistakes.	Corrected as my knowledge

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	no