

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_85339
Title of the Manuscript:	A cost-efficient real-time security surveillance system based on facial recognition using Raspberry Pi and OpenCV
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Firstly, I would like to congratulate the authors of this work, below are some comments to contribute;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Please rewrite the Abstract of this work, between 200-300, highlighting the importance of this work for the world scientific community;• Please insert the Topic named Future Works, right after the Conclusion, to evidence the importance and continuity of this work;• By using images of people in this research, it is extremely important to have the conscience of Ethics in Scientific Research, to emphasize ethics in the research/article. This aspect is extremely relevant;	<p>Thank you very much for your excellent and essential comment.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. I have rewritten the abstract2. I have added a section with future work. Thanks for the great suggestion.3. We used mainly the photo of one co-author and a guy living next door with proper consent. We didn't use any stranger's face for our experiment.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	