

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_85339
Title of the Manuscript:	A cost-efficient real-time security surveillance system based on facial recognition using Raspberry Pi and OpenCV
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Spelling mistakes were detected ("binanry", "futural", "parcent", ...)</p> <p>Grammar check recommended (expressions like "is well enough", "For demonstrating", "one of the most popular algorithm" are incorrect)</p> <p>The structure of some sentences could be improved (for instance, "Fourthly, the cascade grouping. It consists of..." could be just "Fourthly, the cascade grouping consists of..."; "from the above result, it can easily state that" could be "from the above result, it can be easily stated that...") or are redundant ("For the implementation, it has been stated earlier LBPH algorithm has been used.")</p> <p>Histograms of gradients are mentioned in a redundant expression ("HOG histogram") and never explained. At least a reference to the original work would be helpful.</p>	<p>Firstly I am very much thankful for the reviewer comments. I have corrected and my feedback given below-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. For the spelling mistake I didn't find any mistake in terms "binanry", futural is the correct spelling. And I replaced % with the word parcent.</li><li>2. Thanks for the suggestion of grammatical correction. I have corrected based on the comment.</li><li>3. I have given right reference and form for HOG</li></ol>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Haar cascade classification and the LBPH algorithm are mentioned in section 1 before explaining them. Consider reorganizing the text or adding "explained in section 2.1".</p> <p>Figure 1 does not add any relevant information, consider removing it.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. I have given reference for those two terms to the detailed explanation.</li><li>2. I am just considering figure 1 as a graphical abstract.</li></ol>

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	