

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_85248
Title of the Manuscript:	HashNET Blockchain Consensus for DLT Applications
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In this paper, Hashnet Consensus algorithm basing on Proof-of-Authority (POA) was proposed to ensure the trust. Later, they proposed improved Redundancy Reduced Gossip algorithm, which minimizes the traffic load while maintaining the same probability of delivery successful.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1) Experimental results or simulations results are not presented in this study in order to proving the proposed Proof-of-authority model is trusted. It's better to prepare the simulation results / experimental results2) I found some spell mistakes and some phrases are grammatically incorrect. Authors are required to review English language before publication3) Figures are not visible (Text is not readable)	Modified as suggestion
Optional/General comments	Nil	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	