

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_84909
Title of the Manuscript:	SCREENING FOR BACTERIAL LEAF BLIGHT RESISTANT GENES IN RICE USING SSR MARKERS
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Topic:</b> It is concise and meaningful but see the manuscript track changes for suggestion.</p> <p><b>Abstract:</b> This section needs minor corrections which can be seen in the manuscript track changes.</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b> Author needs to beef-up this section with relevant information.</p> <p><b>Materials and Methods:</b> Okay but needs to be more comprehensive. See observations in the reviewed manuscript.</p> <p><b>Results and Discussion:</b> Author needs to discuss the results more with relevant literatures.</p> <p><b>Conclusion:</b> Okay.</p> <p><b>References:</b> Relevant but journal's format should be adhered to.</p>	<p>Topic name was modified as suggested.</p> <p>Minor corrections and modifications were done in the abstract section.</p> <p>Introduction section was modified and relevant information were added.</p> <p>The mentioned information was added in the materials and methods section.</p> <p>Results were discussed with more relevant literatures. A minor modification was done in line no 88.</p> <p>Conclusion was edited as mentioned.</p> <p>References were corrected based the journal's format. Reference no: 16 was corrected and highlighted. New references were added for discussing the results more.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Author should visit the track changes in the manuscript for other observations and comments.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	Not applicable.