

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_84737
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review on Present Status and Recent Development of Colorimetric Pesticide Assay Test for Safe and Sustainable Agriculture with special reference to Clean Food Production
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Is this a paper including 16000 words? The abstract needs to be reconstructed completely. If it is a chapter; this type of subjects are very simple to understand no need to deep review. What sort of pesticide are you dissuading about? Figures and tables must be referred in the text. Once the appearance of abbreviated words in full details is urgent so recheck all. In this case lots of topics can be discussed. You can also discuss about . You can also discuss about indicators of toxicity assays. You can discuss about carcinogen pesticides. New development in generation and removal methods such as 3D printing, plasma technologies and nano compounds, nano materials, etc. You can also discuss about management practices. You can also expand knowledge towards green pesticides and lots of other topics.</p>	<p>Answers to the comments of the Respected Reviewer</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. It is a review paper2. Abstract has been reconstructed as per the comment of respect reviewer3. Figures and tables have been referred in the text.4. Abbreviations have been thoroughly rechecked and inserted wherever missing.5. Among the suggested topics for inclusion, the following relevant ones have been included.<ol style="list-style-type: none">a. Discussion about carcinogenic pesticides.b. New Developments in removal methods for pesticide residue from food products.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	NA