

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_84468
Title of the Manuscript:	RURAL KITCHEN DESIGN - A CASE STUDY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Some minor revisions are necessary because of the organisation of the article and the discussions on observations created, which are mostly text-based. Perhaps the authors should find a better way to illustrate those analyses and discussions as part of their study results, in the particular section. Otherwise, satisfactory in its current form.	As the data was collected in a case study manner, the illustrations were not available, and hence more description was given.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The original research paper is acceptable almost in its current form. It is well written and organised, and the study is both clear and readable, including its structure and message. Therefore, the paper is a good contribution to knowledge in the associated field.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	