

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_84438
Title of the Manuscript:	Technology needs in a healthcare environment: Assessment of Information Communication Technology at Ejisu Government Hospital, Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Dear authors, first, I would like to congratulate you on your excellent work. The following are some suggestions for improving this work:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Please check the proper formatting of the article;- Please insert more References, preferably with a high impact factor, current (2017-2022), and the Journal in which the article will be published. Demonstrating and evidencing the problem for the elaboration of this article;- Please comment and further discuss the results/data present in the article's tables;- Please further discuss the Conclusion of this work;- As a suggestion, right after the conclusion of this work, insert one more topic, named Future Works, as a way to demonstrate and evidence the importance of this work;	Revisions have been made to reflect the reviewer's concern(Highlighted in red in the revised document)
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	