

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_84416
Title of the Manuscript:	Physico-Chemical Contamination of Water Resources in Fako Division, South West Region, Cameroon
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The article is well written and meets the stated objectives. Just remember that this is a basic description of water pollution. Some other parameters such as heavy metals, pesticides, herbicides, hormones, antibiotics in the water would need to be evaluated. Some Water Quality Index such as the ICA could be used. One of the important parameters in the use of drinking water are the microbiological parameters of which the analysis is limited and the results poor. This part could be completed in order to be accepted for publication. The article is written in an original way and after the analysis of plagiarism, it is considered that there is no plagiarism, or what is considered original. If only physicochemical contamination is considered important, the modifications are minor.	Comment accepted and considered
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	There are some spelling and grammar mistakes	Grammatical mistakes are rectified
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	