

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_84309
Title of the Manuscript:	The Non-Carbon Kaolinite; Part Substituent of Cement in Concrete
Type of the Article	Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Metakaolin is one of the substances that have been studied extensively by researchers around the world. Therefore, researchers should; the tests adopted in the research are traditional and general tests, so I suggest that researchers add other tests for durability as well as tests for more advanced properties than these traditional tests addition to that, It was urgent to add SEM images to this study</li><li>The research requires adding more research to the literature</li></ul>	SEM studies, Durability and advanced tests could not be conducted due to paucity of Semester time and apparatuses. The further studies shall follow in another article.  Literature review is added as instructed
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>There are some notes in the attached file that should be approved and modified</li></ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	