

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_84293
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF SOIL WETTING PATTERNS IN CLAY LOAM SOIL UNDER DRIP IRRIGATION
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1) Reference work and methodology is good but <u>researchers own data is missing</u>. For e.g. Sample numbers, weight of dry and 12 hr. water saturated samples. Particle size, bulk density, porosity , saturated hydraulic conductivity,2) Mention hydraulic pressure instead of tap water pressure.3) Data of wet soil on which graphical representation is done, has to be given in The article.4) Triangular representation and weight of sand, silt and clay, give data on which Percentage is derived.5) As mentioned in discussion , experimental error could have removed and reset the experiment.6) Inference could have been drawn in better way.	Yes I have modified the part as per reviewer's comment in revised manuscript
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1) Selected research project , adopted methodology and literature ,reference work Is good one.2) Written in best way except conclusion.	Yes I have modified the part as per reviewer's comment in revised manuscript

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No