

Review Form 1.6

Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_83926
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the growth, yield, nutrient use efficiency and profitability of drought tolerant rice varieties in dry season under varied N application rates
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Please strictly follow the prescribed guidelines of the journal• The maximum of pages should be 25 (double-spaced pages of text including references)• Please use some recent references and avoid excessive citations in INTRODUCTION part• Please confirm the soil parameters [pH of 8.05, organic carbon (0.91%), available N (249 kg ha⁻¹), available P (78.1 kg ha⁻¹) and available K (440.7 kg ha⁻¹)] given in the Methodology part• If the rice crop was sown on 06 Jan 2016 in first year and 17 Jan 2017 in second year, then use 2016 and 2017 as year for experimentation, not 2015-16 and 2016/17• Result and discussion should be presented together, not separately. So, rewrite this section.	Modified as suggestion
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Avoid use of abbreviated form eg. Jan• Some grammatical errors are evident	Noted
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	