

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_83909
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinical presentation and factors associated to COVID-19 disease in Mexican patients.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Study was impressive which indicates different sign symptoms at different age group regarding Covid-19. We can't generalize finding to all due to various geographical constraint among patients and individual different. Data was publically available and very large. Reliability of data may be affected. In case of death, lung biopsies were obtained during autopsy, from an area visibly affected by disease.⁴⁵ In the current study only confirmed case as negative or positive to SARS-CoV-2 were included.</p> <p>(ETHIALLY HOW CAN WE GET PERMISSION FOR BIOPSY FOR DEAD PATEINTS OF SARSCOV2)</p>	<p>Dear reviewer, thanks a lot for your comments.</p> <p>We can't generalize finding to all due to various geographical constraint among patients and individual different.</p> <p>I am disagreeing because the multifactorial influence there is not modification of the clinical presentation between different population groups around the world. We don't see different clinical presentations in different population.</p> <p>Data was publically available and very large. Reliability of data may be affected.</p> <p>I agree due to the nature of the study. The design is of a case-control study to minimize bias.</p> <p>In case of death, lung biopsies were obtained during autopsy, from an area visibly affected by disease.⁴⁵ In the current study only confirmed case as negative or positive to SARS-CoV-2 were included. (ETHIALLY HOW CAN WE GET PERMISSION FOR BIOPSY FOR DEAD PATEINTS OF SARSCOV2)</p> <p>The objective of the study is relationship to clinical presentation. The database does not specify the details regarding permissions.</p> <p>The next sentence was completed: Finally, no data about the duration and evolution of comorbidities, or pharmacotherapy or permission for biopsy for dead patients of SARS-CoV-2 were available (lack of follow-up); that enables to evaluate their effect on the regression models.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Data is publically available and it is secondary data. Reliability of data may be questioned.	I agree due to the nature of the study. The design is of a case-control study to minimize bias.
Optional/General comments	Funding is not declared	The study was not specific funding.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	<p>The principles that emerge from the United Nations General Assembly, 1989, were used. Principle of legality and loyalty (the information was obtained in a lawful manner), principle of accuracy (the relevance of the data was verified), principle of purpose (the database is specific, legitimate and public before its creation), principle of non-discrimination and principle of security.</p>