

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_82236
Title of the Manuscript:	Status and scenarios of genome editing tool CRISPR-Cas9 in crop improvement
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Manuscript needs a major revision. Many typos have been observed in the manuscript. For example CRISPER in place of CRISPR. In title of the article, scenarios is written in place of scenario. Several such mistakes have been observed which must be improve. Authors must work on readability of the MS and sentences should be framed properly with a meaningful flow. In application part, instead of writing application of GE in different crops randomly, some categories could be formed like in cereals, pulses, oilseed, horticultural or tree etc. Benefits, limitations and prospects must be written properly with explanation. Table could be strengthened by adding more example and references.	Corrected accordingly
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	