

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_80484
Title of the Manuscript:	Multivariate and Association Analysis for Yield and Yield attributing traits in Quality Protein Maize (QPM) Inbred Lines
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Citation please start from number 1 (not 17) etc. Please revise the reference.	The citation has been corrected as per the format suggested. The reference also has been revised as per the comments.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	1. Abstract : Please do not abbreviate words (such as no means number). 2. Rao, 1964 and Sneath and Sokal.... is in citation and must be changed in the order of citation (and Reference).	1.The abbreviations also has been removed (such as no means number) from the abstract. 2. The citation in the specific area has been changed as per the format along with reference.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Do abbreviations on the first word encountered and then use the abbreviation (such as QPM and others). Need to add the latest citation (less than 5 years);	The suggestion has been accepted for the abbreviations. As per this section I consider that only few citations which were in need were only used that were more than 5 years but I have many citations that are within less than 5 years. I really thank you for reviewing my research article and I have accepted the suggestions.

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	