

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_79556
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Radionuclide Concentration Associated With Locally Produced Palm Kernel Oil in Osun State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments (1)	References quoted, but not listed: (1) Avwiri et al., 2014 (2) Avwiri and Ononugbo 2012 (3) Issa et al 2013 US DA 2017	The first 3 listed references have now been added. The last one (USDA, 2017) was already there.
Minor REVISION comments	Equations, tables, figures should be listed serially and not according to sub headings or chapter	All the 6 equations and tables and figures have been re-numbered serially as suggested.
Optional/General comments	Color code: Green : correction done already Red : should be deleted	All corrections have been effected as suggested.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	All issues raised by the reviewer have been addressed above and in the respective sections of the manuscript. Appropriate responses and explanations given to every comment by this reviewer and the other two reviewers and the Editor as well. And thank you all for the good contributions which has led to improvement in the quality of the paper.