



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_67807
Title of the Manuscript:	Controlled Release of Nutrients for Soil Productivity- A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Abstract: The first use of "CRFs" should add the full name "controlled release fertilizers (CRFs)". 2. Preparation of control release fertilizers: What's the meaning of "S-CRFs"? 3. Many sentences are confused, such as "In an experiment [28] synthesized..." , "[29] experimentally confirmed that ...", "In another experiment [31] reported that...", etc. The author should provide with the names of the researchers. 4. Nano fertilizers for controlled release: The third paragraph in the section repeats with the second paragraph.	Done
Minor REVISION comments		Corrected Revised
Optional/General comments		Made revision Noted
	Overall, the manuscript is well written, clear, and complete in the figures. The interpretations and conclusions are largely justified. However, the manuscript is subjected to improvement before it is acceptable for publication. I highly recommend that the authors read their work once again in order to correct or amend any type of mistakes.	OK

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	