



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_66344
Title of the Manuscript:	Treatment of tomato seedlings with cell-free culture filtrate of phytopathogenic Fusarium oxysporum f.sp lycopersici (Sensu lato) offered protection against wilt disease under pathogen challenge
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>There are some points in the paper that only a specialist of that very field would notice.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- The English writing is so poor, need to re-write again. 2- Results figures did not illustrate the stander results such as: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2.1. The tomato plant already isolated from them 2.2. The tomato plant was treated. 2.3. The Condi germination on media. 2.4. The photo of Condi under microscopy. 3- Reference wrote very bad. 	All the suggested correction have been done

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	