

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_88804
Title of the Manuscript:	A Real World Clinical Experience with S-Amlodipine in Mongolian Patients for the Management of Hypertension
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	1)Title need to change as comment  2)The language need to improve  3)Why did dosage change in method?  4)Introduction need to integral	<b>We appreciate your comments and have made the necessary changes</b> 1) We have changed the title: Effects of S-Amlodipine in the Management of Hypertension in Mongolian Patients  2) Complied and made necessary changes.  3) Only 5% of subjects needed up titration of dose from 2.5 mg to 5 mg.  4) As per your good suggestions, we have made the necessary changes.
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	None