

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_88804
Title of the Manuscript:	A Real World Clinical Experience with S-Amlodipine in Mongolian Patients for the Management of Hypertension
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1.Please change global efficacy to efficacy. The word global can be confusing for readers since this study was done on in Mangolia. 2. Please write details in method section about how frequently was blood pressure checked during the 8 week study duration. 3. In Table 1 please consider specifying mean of women and men and consider BMI rather that in weight in Kg 4. Please write results under results section and add reference of tables and figures.	We appreciate your comments and have made the necessary changes. 1) The word global efficacy has been changed to efficacy. 2) Blood Pressure was measured on Baseline and at the end of 8 th week. 3) Mean of Age and Weight is incorporated in the table 1. Individual BMI data is not available. 4) Complied and added the required. The data generated is a part of the Post Marketing Surveillance study.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	None