

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_87105
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiology of pacemaker implantation among patients in Tanta university hospitals
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Does not mention the objectives nor justification of the study</li><li>- The study design is deficient to identify risk factors (cases/controls or cohort)</li><li>- If the objective is to identify risk factors, it is necessary to determine odds ratio or relative risk, attributable risk ...</li><li>- The results are repetitive with respect to the information in the tables, it does not provide more information</li></ul>	Revision amended
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- The title of the study is confusing and does not describe the content of the article</li><li>- Does not explain why the total number of subjects in tables 2 (180) and 3 (202) is greater than the number of patients studied (102)</li><li>- tables 6 and 7 are not mentioned in the manuscript</li><li>- Some results can be presented through figures</li><li>- The text mentions "smoking (24%)", and the table "smoking (23%)"</li><li>- Explain "normally distributed variables were summarized using the mean and standard deviation (SD), while the normally distributed variables were summarized using the median"</li></ul>	Revision amended
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- what is meant by" This study describes cardiac pacing activity during 2021"</li><li>- tables display data out of order</li></ul>	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<p><b><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></b></p> <p>Si,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- It does not specify if was reviewed and approved by the Human Research Ethics Committees of the Tanta university hospital</li></ul> <p>informed consent letter is mentioned, but it is not specified if the consent was for the placement of the pacemaker or to participate in the study (6 months follow-up)</p>	