

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_86525
Title of the Manuscript:	Risk Factors and In-hospital Outcome of Young Adults Presented with Acute Myocardial Infarction and Treated with Primary PCI
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Acronym AMI defined, but uses MI once after</li><li>- Spelling/grammar check</li><li>- In the abstract, STEMI should be defined when used first, not in the conclusion</li><li>- “the mean ± SD of mean ± SD of LVEDD” delete repeat mean +/- SD</li><li>- “mean ± SD of mean ± SD of EF” same here</li><li>- Some results are repeated in the discussion, can give the analysis without repeating the statistics (such as location of STEMIs and complications)</li><li>- Any limitations to discuss in the discussion</li></ul>	Amended revision  Done  Done  Done
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></i>	