

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_86078
Title of the Manuscript:	A Contemporary Role of Beta Blockers in Myocardial Infarction
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Figure 1. Type of admiration, the legend should be represented in different format.  Ethical reference number should be included.	Noted
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	Results were given. Is there possible to conduct additional statistical test to determine the significant p-value ?  Including the clinical data as well as the demography of the patient will significantly improve the quality of the manuscript.  Are there any possible mechanism discussion on the administration of drugs with its relation to recover percentage ?	Noted
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	