

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_85712
Title of the Manuscript:	DOOR TO BALLOON TIME IN STEMI: A PROSPECTIVE STUDY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- The study were done in only one month and so the patient no only 7 , it is a very small number to do statistics on it	-
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Abstract: the result part in the abstract is so deficient and need more explanation about the finding in the living 7 patient.- In the abstract part: you should mention the total number of patients examined and the the period of the study from to ...- In the result part of the study, there is no data about the patients underwent the study, (their sex – age- previous history ... etc)- The period of the study only one month October 2021 and so the number of the patients only 7 it is too small number to make statistics- Discussion is so weak and need more explanation for the data finding	Thank you for your kind suggestions. Authors have made all the changes in the updated version of the manuscript.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	