

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_84399
Title of the Manuscript:	Procedural and Clinical Outcomes in Management of Left Main Coronary Artery Bifurcational Lesions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Do include how is the power of study calculated. How the number of 100 subjects set, and do explain the rationale behind the 70:30 distribution of subject since it seems it is a prospective design trial2. Since it is different characteristic of the lesion anatomy, the direct comparison between the author study with the DKCRUSH V is irrelevant as in DKCRUSH V it is the same lesion anatomy but randomized into two different strategies. Kindly correlate with the correct study.3. Kindly revise the conclusion, as the current study showed that probably those more complex lesions that require non-provisional strategy had higher MACE than those non-complex lesion that underwent provisional stenting as suggested in DEFINITION trial.	<div>1- Done</div> <div>2- Done</div> <div>3- Done</div>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. "All were between the ages of 6 and 12 (kindly clarify) and were eligible for the LM bifurcational intervention isional (two stents) group." – kindly explain this sentence2. Kindly write in scientific style for the RESULT and DISCUSSION.3. Do change the "bifurcational" to bifurcation.	<div>1- Done</div> <div>2- Done</div> <div>3- Done</div>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	