

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_83853
Title of the Manuscript:	Diet and Cardiovascular Disease: Erectile Dysfunction
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments . No methodology and results section	Methodology section is missing The number articles and journals included for review are missing There is no mention of how articles were selected and who selected them Results section is also missing which would have summarised the observations and Keypoints of the studies focused	Methodology section is missing. The number articles and journals included for review are missing. There is no mention of how articles were selected and who selected them. Results section is also missing which would have summarised the observations and Keypoints of the studies focused Answer: Since it is a narrative review (and not a systemic review or meta-analysis) and no new data was generated, the methodology/results section is not included. The word 'narrative' is added in the abstract to clarify this.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Discussion section needs to be more precise and focused on the direct impact of food type on erectile dysfunction.	Discussion section needs to be more precise and focused on the direct impact of food type on erectile dysfunction. Answer: The discussion includes the various subheadings such as obesity/fruits and vegetables/whole grains/fiber/fish etc. – hence the direct impact of the food type is discussed under these subheadings.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Although, the rationale and ideation behind the review article is praiseworthy at present, it seems the manuscript s some areas did not get uploaded or are missing. It needs to be completed and sent back.	Optional/General comments Although, the rationale and ideation behind the review article is praiseworthy at present, it seems the manuscript s some areas did not get uploaded or are missing. It needs to be completed and sent back. Answer: The entire manuscript was submitted to the publisher.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	