

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_83852
Title of the Manuscript:	Diet and Cardiovascular Disease: Venous Thrombo-embolism
Type of the Article	Review Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• In the abstract firstly use full form of abbreviations.</li><li>• A lot of grammatical corrections are there and authors need line-wise-line revision of provided manuscript.</li><li>• In maximum place need spacing too.</li><li>• Author used statistical analysis and showing ANOVA but not showing software name.</li><li>• Why was Authors not showing acknowledgements and Conflict of interest?</li><li>• References needs uniformity, look at reference no. 13 month of publication mentioned but others are not.</li></ul>	<b>Review 1</b> In the abstract firstly use full form of abbreviations. <b>Answer:</b> done <input type="checkbox"/> A lot of grammatical corrections are there and authors need line-wise-line revision of provided manuscript. <input type="checkbox"/> In maximum place need spacing too. <b>Answer:</b> Checked <input type="checkbox"/> Author used statistical analysis and showing ANOVA but not showing software name. <b>Answer:</b> No statistic analysis done and no new data presented in this manuscript <input type="checkbox"/> Why was Authors not showing acknowledgements and Conflict of interest? <b>Answer:</b> Were provided at the end of the manuscript, before references. <input type="checkbox"/> References needs uniformity, look at reference no. 13 month of publication mentioned but others are not. <b>Answer:</b> Reference #13 corrected.
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	-	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	The authors need to be taken the manuscript seriously. If authors want to be the article publish so it may advised reframe whole manuscript again seriously	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	