

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_83852
Title of the Manuscript:	Diet and Cardiovascular Disease: Venous Thrombo-embolism
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1-The subject is important and is currently required.</p> <p>2- Manuscript is not scientific methods used in dealing with this type of research studies, especially those that have a direct relationship to human health.</p> <p>3-Author has produced little positive but there are a lot of literature.</p> <p>4 -Author not report diet basic material used for patients in this study.</p> <p>5 -Author not report how prepare diets use, in any form, and what is the chemical composition of that prepared diets to be effective against VTE without side effects.</p> <p>6-Manuscript has not presentation and subject matter to the readership as it is not understand or clear to all readers.</p> <p>7-Author not reports the chemical constituents of the standard diet or the development diets that protect or treated the VTE.</p> <p>8-The manuscript presentation and discussion is unattractive as there is no scientific methods and no results present.</p> <p>9- Author need to use new material and method to obtain data that evidence the metabolism and role of these diets.</p> <p>10- Author must be rewritten and reformulate their abstract, introduction and expand discussion to explain more information about the chemical composition, efficiency and implications of diets to produce a more suitable to aim of this search.</p> <p>11- Abbreviations in red color must be written in complete at first time</p>	<p>1-The subject is important and is currently required.</p> <p>2- Manuscript is not scientific methods used in dealing with this type of research studies, especially those that have a direct relationship to human health.</p> <p>3-Author has produced little positive but there are a lot of literature.</p> <p>4 -Author not report diet basic material used for patients in this study.</p> <p>5 -Author not report how prepare diets use, in any form, and what is the chemical composition of that prepared diets to be effective against VTE without side effects.</p> <p>6-Manuscript has not presentation and subject matter to the readership as it is not understand or clear to all readers.</p> <p>7-Author not reports the chemical constituents of the standard diet or the development diets that protect or treated the VTE.</p> <p>8-The manuscript presentation and discussion is unattractive as there is no scientific methods and no results present.</p> <p>9- Author need to use new material and method to obtain data that evidence the metabolism and role of these diets.</p> <p>10- Author must be rewritten and reformulate their abstract, introduction and expand discussion to explain more information about the chemical composition, efficiency and implications of diets to produce a more suitable to aim of this search.</p> <p>11- Abbreviations in red color must be written in complete at first time</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1-Reformulate introduction with references distribution.</p> <p>2-The paper needs substantial changes such as specific the literature review, materials, methods, results and discussion.</p> <p>3- English language editor following a restructure of the paper.</p>	<p>1-Reformulate introduction with references distribution.</p> <p>2-The paper needs substantial changes such as specific the literature review, materials, methods, results and discussion.</p> <p>3- English language editor following a restructure of the paper.</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>1-Write complete of abbreviations.</p> <p>2-Decrease and choose the specific related references.</p> <p>3- Author must be follow scientific method in discussing the analysis of results components, method of work and the implications of using these diets.</p> <p>4-Constructive criticism</p> <p>a- I think that this paper is not a scientific research, but it is a literary introductory article compiled from some other research that some diets may be useful in preventing the occurrence of venous thromboembolism</p> <p>b- In presenting the topic, the writer relied on the sayings of some researchers without explaining the components of their diets used and the reasons for their results, which may contribute to the prevention or treatment of venous thromboembolism.</p>	<p>1-Write complete of abbreviations.</p> <p>2-Decrease and choose the specific related references.</p> <p>3- Author must be follow scientific method in discussing the analysis of results components, method of work and the implications of using these diets.</p> <p>4-Constructive criticism</p> <p>a- I think that this paper is not a scientific research, but it is a literary introductory article compiled from some other research that some diets may be useful in preventing the occurrence of venous thromboembolism</p> <p>b- In presenting the topic, the writer relied on the sayings of some researchers without explaining the components of their diets used and the reasons for their results, which may contribute to the prevention or treatment of venous</p>

Review Form 1.6

		Answer This is a narrative review and not a systemic review nor a meta-analysis. No new data was generated. Hence Methods used and Results are not a part of the manuscript. Rewriting and restructuring the entire manuscript is beyond the scope of this communication.
--	--	---

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	