

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_82680
Title of the Manuscript:	Lymphedema in Mexico: A Clinical and Epidemiological Overview
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy> )

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<div>1. Kindly mention the name of scales or interview questions</div> <div>2. Conclusion not clearly mentioned and proving the statement of the background</div> <div>3. Relevance of exercise prescription in epidemiology</div>	<div>Thank you.</div> <div>1. It has been included in document-</div> <div>2. Conclusion has been corrected</div> <div>3. Added and addressed in discussion, nonetheless, we do not talk only about specifically exercise prescription but physical activity level.</div>
Minor REVISION comments	<div>In introduction- Less data for other types of cancer related lymphedema, non- cancer related lymphedema and primary lymphedema. As maximally mentioned for breast cancer related lymphedema only.</div>	<div>Data about non-cancer related lymphedema and primary lymphedema does not exist reported in Mexico. Which is stated in introduction, that is why we do not have any reference for this population in the introduction.</div> <div>This problem is stated.</div> <div>This will work as a background for further studies detailing each population concrete data.</div>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	