

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_80909
Title of the Manuscript:	Diastolic valvular regurgitation in patient with complete atrio-ventricular block
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The title is good and the subject is interesting. In 2 nd paragraph of introduction the expression of mitro tricuspid is not correct. (history of hypertension..etc) can be delayed to end of paragraph. Last paragraph in case report not detect the degree of regression in regurgitation.	We correted the final version of our manuscript
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Few vocabularies should be revised	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In overall this manuscript accepted .	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There is no ethical issues