

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_85494
Title of the Manuscript:	Expression assessment of some immunity-related genes in buffalo infected with endometritis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalbjj.com/index.php/BJJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The paper is technically sound and has addressed key issues relating to the objective of the study. The paper has contributed to the body of knowledge that is connected to early diagnosis of sub-clinical endometritis in buffaloes. However, minor corrections have been observed and should be effected as indicated in the reviewed article.	All suggested minor corrections were done in the revised manuscript
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	The following sentence was added in section of Materials and Methods "The uterine samples were collected in slaughterhouse from animals after sacrificing under normal condition without any special requirement, so it is not needed to any ethical permission."