

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Soil Research Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_87783
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination and Correlation of pH and Electrical Conductivity of Assosa Agricultural Research Center Research Sites Soil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalasrj.com/index.php/ASRJ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	1. The work objective will be placed at the end of the INTRODUCTION section. 2. The Introduction section should be reviewed and the subheadings are not necessary here. Please carefully do the necessary changes. 3.I appreciate that the method used for pH determination can be eventually written at the MATERIALS AND METHODS section, not in Introduction. 4.The same observation for the electrical conductivity indicator. Please explain in Material and Methods section. 5.Please explained what did you done, not what we will do. For example: For determinations, we weighed 10 g of soil sample, put this sample in a beaker, the 25 mL of distillate water has been added..... So, ...past tense should be used. 6. Please specify which type of conductivity meter did you used. 7. For the Section 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 8.Why did you say...differ significantly? Statistical analysis has not been done. So, we cannot use.....significantly. Maybe,...marcant differences can be seen. 9.REFERENCES Please respect Instructions for authors for each Reference. <a href="https://journalasrj.com/index.php/ASRJ/about/submissions#authorGuidelines">https://journalasrj.com/index.php/ASRJ/about/submissions#authorGuidelines</a> 10.Recent literature should also be added here and of course cited in the article text, mainly as regard as discussions section.	All the necessary corrections were done as indicated
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Some minor changes are also needed (e.g. citation number in text, not superscript; the Table and Figure titles, bold and other can be seen on the manuscript).	Done
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	